01510

1992/02/28

PRIORITY

UNCLASSIFIED LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

EAP/L CARDS CENTER

RELEASEDINCOMING

ETTC-7



PAGE 81 85416 85 OF 82 2883497 852722 S866957 ACTION: CM (05)

| TREE-88 | TREE

P 2833367 FEB 92 ZDK
FN APERBASSY BELLING
TO SECSTATE VASHDC PRIORITY BEB4
INFO ANCONSUL SHENYANG
ANCONSUL SHANGHAI
ANCONSUL SHANGHAI
ANCONSUL SHANGHAI
ANCONSUL WORE KONG
USDOC VASHDC
ANCONSUL CRENCOU

LINITED OFFICIAL USE BEIJING 825416

DEPARTMENT PASS USTR FOR PHILLIPS/MUSARRA

DEPARTMENT PASS NSC FOR PAAL

USDOC FOR 4428/1EP/EAP/OPECHK/CLUCYK

E.O. 12356: DECL: DADR TACS: ETRO, TSPA, ETTC, CA

SUBJECT: AEROPSACE OFFICIALS COMPENT ON SANCTIONS, SATELLITE LAUNCH PRICING

REF: (31) STATE 378855

1. SUMMARY. CHIMESE AEROPSACE OFFICIALS ARE INTERESTED IN CONTINUING DISCUSSIONS ON PRICING ISSUES FOR SATELLITE LAURCHES AND REHAIN CONVINCED THAT THEY ARE LIVING UP TO THEIR END OF THE ACREEMENT ON TRADE IN LAURCH SERVICES. IN A MEETING FEBRUARY 25. THEY ALSO ASKED ABOUT U.S. WILLINGNESS TO EXTEND THE TIMEFRAFE FOR LAUNCHES HODER THE ACREEMENT BEYOND 1994 AND INVITED THE U.S. DELECATION TO BEIJING FOR ANOTHER ROUND OF CONSULTATIONS. END SUMMARY.

2. VISITING CHINA DESK OFFICER ROBERT WIRSHIP AND ECONORF MET FEBRUARY 26 MITH CHINESE AEROPSACE OFFICIALS TO DISCUSS SATELLITE LAWACH ISSUES. THE CHIKESE SIDE INCLUDED FENG YUNXIANG, VICE DIRECTOR OF THE HINISTRY OF AEROSPACE INDUSTRY'S GENERAL OFFICE: CHEN ZHONGOING, DEPUTY DIRECTOR CEKERAL OF THAT MINISTRY'S DEPARTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION; AND ZHOU PING, SENIOR COLONEL IN THE FOREICH AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT OF THE COMMISSION OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, AND INDUSTRY FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE.

SATELLITE ACREEMENT AND PRICING ISSUES

3. FENG RECALLED THAT AT THE CONSULTATIONS IN

MASHINGTON LAST OCTOBER THE CHIRESE HAD STATED THAT THEY WERE ACTING ACCORDING TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 1989 ACREEMENT. ON THE CONTRARY, HE SAID, THE CHINESE BEIJIN 65416 88 OF 82 2883492 652722 WIEW REMAINED THAT THE U.S. HAD VIOLATED THE ACREEMENT BY IMPOSING SANCTIONS ON SATELLITE SALES WITHOUT CONSULTATION.

\$866957

1. ON SATILLITE LAUNCH PRICES, FENG STATED THAY THERE WAS NO INTERNATIONAL STANDARD, CITING THE DIFFERENT PRICES CHARCED BY THE U.S. AND BY FRANCE AS AN EXAPPLE. CHINA'S PRICES REFLECTED ITS LOW COSTS, HE ARCUED, AND THEREFORE CHINA WAS ACTING STRICTLY ACCORDING TO THE AGREEMENT. HE CLAIMED THE U.S. MAD NOT CONSIDERED SHETHER CHINA'S COSTS WERE REASONABLE, LE URCED JOINT DISCUSSIONS ANONG THE U.S., FRENCH, AND CHINESE LAUNCH COPPANIES.

AN APPEAL TO PERHIT LAUNCHES AFTER 1994

send a technial

Good Wich

5. COLONEL ZHOU COMMENTED THAT CRIMA HAD RECEIVED APPROVAL TO LAUNCH ONLY THREE U.S. SATELLITES BEFORE SANCTIONS TOOK EFFECT. AFTER A DESULTORY EXCHANGE ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF SANCTIONS LIUNE 1983, THE CAINESE ARCUED. RATHER THAN JUNE 1991) AND MIETHER SANCTIONS HAD PREVENTED ANY LAUNCHES, ZHOU HOTED THAT THE ACREEMENT ALLOWED CHIMA TO LAUNCH AN ADDITIONAL SIX SATEILITES BY 1994. CONCERNED THAT BECAUSE OF THE SANCTIONS NOT ALL THE PERMITTED LAUNCHES COULD TAKE FLACE BY THAT THE, HE WAS INTERESTED IN KNOWING

WHETHER THE U.S. WOULD BE VILLING TO ALLOW LAUNCHES UNDER THE ACREEMENT IN 1995 OR 1996. HE SAID TALKS' BETWEEN THE IND SIDES WEEDED TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE.

AN INVITATION FOR THE NEXT ROUND OF CONSULTATIONS

6. CREM ZHONGOING MOTED THAT THE CHIRESE GOVERNMENT IS YETY SERIOUS IN 1TS OFFER TO ADBERE TO THE NOTE CUIDELINES IN EXCHARGE FOR THE LIFTING OF SANCTIONS.

RE BELIEVED THAT COOPERATION ON SPACE LAUNCHES IS GOOD FOR BOTH STORS. HE EXPRESSED A VILLINGNESS TO FIND WAYS TO RESOLVE THE PRICING ISSUES. HE CLOSED BY MOTING THAT SUM JIADONG. PRESIDENT OF THE MINISTRY OF AEROSPACE'S SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE. HAD ASKED HIM TO CONVEY THE MESSAGE THAT CHIMA MOULD LIKE TO INVITE A U.S. DELEGATION TO BELJING FOR THE MEXT ROUND OF CONSULTATIONS.

7. CONTENT. THE CHINESE AEROSPACE OFFICIALS ARE

CLEARLY BELIEVED THAT THE SANCTIONS ARE BEING LIFTED.
WHILE UNWILLING TO BUDGE IN THEIR VIEWS ON PRICING,
THEY AT LEAST TALK AS IT THEY WANT TO EXPLORE WAYS TO
RESOLVE THE ISSUE. END CONYENT. ROY

United States Department of State Office of FOI, Privacy, & Classification Review Review Authority: MILLER, ROBERT Date: 07/17/95 Case ID: 9402759

UNCLASSIFIED